

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/752,406	01/06/2004	Anthony G. Lutfallah	1247 P 290 3878	
22908 BANNER & W	7590 02/16/2007 ITCOFF LTD	EXAMINER		
TEN SOUTH W	ACKER DRIVE	LUGO, CARLOS		
SUITE 3000 CHICAGO, IL 0	50606	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
01.100,120000			3676	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			02/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/752,406	LUTFALLAH, ANTHONY G.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Carlos Lugo	3676		

Bororo the rining of all rippear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carlos Lugo	3676	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff dice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba īdavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 		i E below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		cotod olaimis.	•
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	•	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		p.i.a.i.e.	(
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>24,30-33,35 and 36</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:		1//	
		///	
•	10	11/	
		143	7674

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments still not persuasive. Therefore, the rejection is maintained. As to new claim 36, first, the current amendment present the same number of finally rejected claims, and second, since the claim presents the same limitations of claim 24, except that at least one of the ridges is inclined away from the lip, the claim is rejected in view of Bratcher, as modified by Kojima.